

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,608	04/09/2004	Anuraag Agrawal	CING-0648/887.US	1558	
54499 WOODCOCK	7590 04/14/200 WASHBURN LLP	EXAMINER			
CIRA CENTRE 12th Floor			TIV, BACKHEAN		
2929 ARCH S PHILADELPE	TREET IIA, PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111111111111111111111111111111111111111			2151		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/821,608 AGRAWAL ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BACKHEAN TIV	2151					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Estimations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 - If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the set or extended period for reply with 15 yet statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making amend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/9/0	8.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
· _							
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·= · · · · · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
oj claim(s) are subject to resulction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
And the state of t							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(RTO 412)					
Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					

Attaciment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:

Art Unit: 2100

Detailed Action

Claims 1-40 are pending in this application. This is a response to the

Amendment/Remarks filed on 1/9/08.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,6,12-19,28,29,33,34,36,37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CNN.com webpage from 1/29/03(CNN) in view of US Publication 2004/0087326 issued to Dunko et al.(Dunko).

As per claim 1, CNN teaches receiving a request message, wherein the request message is generated, at least in part, by the content provider, the request message comprising: information identifying the content sharing system, and information identifying content provided by the content provider, wherein the user selected the identified content as content that the user wishes to share with the recipient(Fig.1-3); providing a user input form for display to the user, wherein the user input form includes a request for the user to identify the recipient(Fig.1-3); receiving user input information submitted by the user via the user input form and based on the received information, generating a content share message for transmittal to the mobile device of the recipient, wherein the content share message includes an indication of the identified content that

Art Unit: 2100

the user wishes to share with the recipient, so that the content can be presented on the mobile device of the recipient(Fig.1-3; the user is sent an email to view the webpage).

CNN does not explicitly teach telecommunications system having at least one network gateway coupled among multiple mobile devices and a network, and wherein a content sharing system and a content provider are also coupled to the network, a method of sharing content between a user and a recipient, both of whom have a mobile device having access to the network.

Dunko teaches an a telecommunications system having at least one network gateway coupled among multiple mobile devices and a network, and wherein a content sharing system and a content provider are also coupled to the network, a method of sharing content between a user and a recipient, both of whom have a mobile device having access to the network(Fig.1.Fig.2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN to include sending content to users in a mobile environment as taught by Dunko in order to send content to mobile users (Dunko, Abstract).

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko and CNN in order to provide a system to send content to mobile users(Dunko, Abstract).

As per claim 2, the method of claim 1 wherein the user selected the identified content from the mobile device of the user(CNN, Figs.1-3, Dunko, para.0025).

Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,608
Art Unit: 2100

As per claim 3, the method of claim 1 wherein the user selected the identified content from a device other than a mobile device(CNN, Figs.1-3, Dunko, para.0025). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 6, the method of claim 1 further comprising providing access to an address book application coupled to the content sharing system, wherein the address book application facilitates the user's identification of the recipient(CNN, Figs.1-3, Dunko, para.0025). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 1.

As per claim 12, CNN teaches means for sending a request message to a content sharing system; user-selectable option to facilitate, wherein the request message is based on information associated with the user-selectable option; means for receiving a user input form from the content sharing system, wherein the user input form is configured to obtain user input identifying recipients for the shared content; and means for sending information associated with the completed user input form to the content sharing system(Fig.1-3).

CNN does not explicitly teach mobile device registered with a mobile service provider, the mobile device comprising: means for receiving user input; means for providing output; memory means; radio transceiver and processing means coupled to the memory means; and wherein the mobile device is smaller than a laptop or tablet computer, and further comprises: means for presenting content via an output component of the mobile device, wherein the content is provided by a content provider and sharing the content with other mobile device users.

Art Unit: 2100

Dunko teaches mobile device registered with a mobile service provider, the mobile device comprising: means for receiving user input(Figs.1-16); means for providing output; memory means(Figs.1-16); radio transceiver and processing means coupled to the memory means; and wherein the mobile device is smaller than a laptop or tablet computer(Figs.1-16), and further comprises: means for presenting content via an output component of the mobile device, wherein the content is provided by a content provider and sharing the content with other mobile device users(para. 0004,0042,0044); (para. 0004,0042,0044).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN to include sending content to users in a mobile environment as taught by Dunko in order to send content to mobile users(Dunko, Abstract).

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko and CNN in order to provide a system to send content to mobile users(Dunko, Abstract).

As per claim 13, the mobile device of claim 12 further comprising means for, after sending the information associated with the completed user input form, receiving a message indicating the status of the request sent to the content sharing system(CNN, Figs.1-3, Dunko, para.0045). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 14, the mobile device of claim 12 further comprising means for, after sending the information associated with the completed user input form, representing the

Art Unit: 2100

content via the output component(CNN, Figs.1-3, Dunko, Figs.1-16). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 15, the mobile device of claim 12 further comprising means for presenting information from an address book application, wherein the address book application facilitates the identifying of recipients for the shared content in combination with the user input form(CNN, Figs.1-3). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 16, the mobile device of claim 12 wherein the largest dimension of the mobile device is smaller than twelve inches or does not have a full size keyboard that can accommodate both hands of a user(CNN, Figs.1-3, Dunko, Figs.1-16).

Motivation to combine set forth in claim 12.

As per claim 17, CNN teaches generating a user-selectable share content option; the user-selectable share content option is based on an application program interface provided in association with a content sharing application of the mobile service provider(Fig.1-3).

CNN does not explicitly teach at a content provider system, a method of sharing content among users of mobile devices interconnected within one or more mobile telecommunication networks, wherein at least some of the users subscribe to a mobile service provided by a mobile service provider, the method comprising: as part of content available for access by users of mobile devices, sharing the content with other users of mobile devices, and wherein; and providing the content, to a device of a user, wherein the content can then be shared with a recipient device via the content sharing application of the mobile service provider.

Art Unit: 2100

Dunko teaches at a content provider system, a method of sharing content among users of mobile devices interconnected within one or more mobile telecommunication networks, wherein at least some of the users subscribe to a mobile service provided by a mobile service provider(Figs.1-16), the method comprising: as part of content available for access by users of mobile devices, sharing the content with other users of mobile devices, and wherein; and providing the content, to a device of a user, wherein the content can then be shared with a recipient device via the content sharing application of the mobile service provider(para. 0004,0042,0044).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN to include sending content to users in a mobile environment as taught by Dunko in order to send content to mobile users(Dunko, Abstract).

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko and CNN in order to provide a system to send content to mobile users(Dunko, Abstract).

As per claim 18, the method of claim 17 wherein the user-selectable share content option is implemented as a link that, when selected, results in a request message being sent to the content sharing application of the mobile service provider(CNN, Figs.1-3). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 17.

As per claim 19, the method of claim 17 wherein the content available for access by users of mobile devices is an executable application(Dunko, Figs.1-16, para.0004, CNN, Figs.1-3). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,608
Art Unit: 2100

As per claim 28, CNN teaches the data structure comprising: an indication of content to be shared, wherein the indication of the content to be shared is provided as a parameter associated with a display description provided by a content provider, user-selectable option; and a link associated with a server hosting the content sharing application, wherein the content sharing application receives information associated with the data structure as a result of a user selecting the user-selectable option on the provided display description(Figs.1-3).

CNN does not explicitly teaches computer-readable medium containing a data structure for facilitating sharing of content among users of mobile devices, and wherein the indication of the content to be shared is provided in a framework defined in a content sharing application of a mobile service provider.

Dunko teaches computer-readable medium containing a data structure for facilitating sharing of content among users of mobile devices(Figs.1-16), and wherein the indication of the content to be shared is provided in a framework defined in a content sharing application of a mobile service provider(para.0004,0042,0044).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN to include sending content to users in a mobile environment as taught by Dunko in order to send content to mobile users(Dunko, Abstract).

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko and CNN in order to provide a system to send content to mobile users(Dunko, Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/821,608
Art Unit: 2100

As per claim 29, the computer-readable medium of claim 28 wherein the display description is implemented, at least in part, in HTML(CNN, Figs.1-3)

As per claim 33, the computer-readable medium of claim 28 further comprising an indication of uniform resource locator associated with the content to be shared(CNN, Figs.1-3).

As per claim 34, the computer-readable medium of claim 28 further comprising an indication of a specific uniform resource locator identifying an address for specific content to be shared(CNN, Figs.1-3).

As per claim 36, the computer-readable medium of claim 28 further comprising an indication of a return uniform resource locator identifying the address of the display description to which the user will be returned after performing a process associated with identifying recipients with whom to share content(CNN, Figs.1-3).

As per claim 37, CNN teaches providing an application program interface for content providers, wherein the application program interface provides a framework for content providers to customers, option for user to provide content; providing a content sharing application, wherein the content sharing application receives and processes requests from the customers(Figs.1-3).

CNN does not explicitly teach a method for facilitating the sharing of content among users of mobile devices, the method comprising: a wireless service provider to share information with mobile device users; and of the wireless service provider to share content with the mobile device users.

Art Unit: 2100

Dunko teaches a method for facilitating the sharing of content among users of mobile devices(Figs.1-16), the method comprising: a wireless service provider to share information with mobile device users(Figs.1-16); and of the wireless service provider to share content with the mobile device users(para.0004.0042.0044).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN to include sending content to users in a mobile environment as taught by Dunko in order to send content to mobile users(Dunko, Abstract).

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko and CNN in order to provide a system to send content to mobile users(Dunko, Abstrac

Claims 4,5, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CNN.com webpage from 1/29/03(CNN) in view of US Publication 2004/0087326 issued to Dunko et al.(Dunko) in further view of Office Notice.

CNN in view of Dunko does not explicitly teach as per 4, the method of claim 1 wherein the content sharing system is associated with a wireless carrier and wherein the wireless carrier provides mobile service for the mobile device of the recipient, and as per claim 5, the method of claim 1 wherein the content sharing system is associated with a wireless carrier and wherein the wireless carrier does not provide mobile service for the mobile device of the recipient.

Art Unit: 2100

Office Notice is taken. It is well known to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that there are different wireless carrier provider that provides service to users. The sender and recipient of the content can use the same wireless provider or they can be with different wireless provider. Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN in view of Dunko to include using either the same or different wireless service provider for users in the network in order to provide a system that does not limit users to one carrier provider.

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated combine the teachings of CNN, Dunko, and to either use the same or different wireless provider in order for users in the network in order to provide a system that does not limit users to one carrier provider.

CNN in view of Dunko does not explicitly teach as per as per claim 10, the method of claim 1 wherein the user input form provided for display to the user includes a listing of a predetermined number of recipients with whom the user recently shared content.

Office Notice is taken. It is well known to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a list of recipients that the user have shared content with (e.g. sent list of SMS messages in a user's mobile phone). Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of CNN in view of Dunko to include a list of recently sent messages in order for the user to keep track of who he/she has sent messages to.

Art Unit: 2100

One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated combine the teachings of CNN, Dunko, and to have a list of recently sent messages in order to provide a system where a user can keep track of recently sent messages.

Claims 20,30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U CNN.com webpage from 1/29/03(CNN) in view of US Publication 2004/0087326 issued to Dunko et al.(Dunko) in further view of US Publication 2004/0186883 issued to Nyman et al.(Nyman).

CNN in view of Dunko does not explicitly teach as per claim 20, the method of claim 17 wherein the content available for access by users of mobile devices is an executable MIDP application; and as per claims 30-32, the computer-readable medium of claim 28 wherein the display description is implemented, at least in part, in XML, XHTML, WML.

Nyman teaches as per claim 20, the method of claim 17 wherein the content available for access by users of mobile devices is an executable MIDP application(para.0047); and as per claims 30-32, the computer-readable medium of claim 28 wherein the display description is implemented, at least in part, in XML, XHTML. WML(para.0040).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN in view of Dunko to include wherein the content available for access by users of mobile devices is an executable MIDP

Art Unit: 2100

application and wherein the display description is implemented, at least in part, in XML, XHTML, WML as taught by Nyman in order to view different webpages.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of CNN, Dunko, Nyman in order to provide a system to users to view webpages that have different markup languages.

Claims 38,39,40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CNN.com webpage from 1/29/03(CNN) in view of US Publication 2004/0087326 issued to Dunko et al.(Dunko) in further view of US Publication 2004/0193691 issued to Chang.

CNN in view of Dunko does not explicitly teach as per claim 38, the method of claim 37 further comprising charging a fee to the content providers for providing the option for the customers of the wireless service provider to share information with the mobile device users.

Chang teaches charging a fee to the content providers for providing the option for the customers of the wireless service provider to share information with the mobile device users(para.0008).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN in view of Dunko to include charging a fee to the content providers for providing the option for the customers of the wireless service provider to share information with the mobile device users as taught by Chang in order to charge for use of a system.

Art Unit: 2100

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of CNN, Dunko, Chang in order to charge for use of a system.

As per claim 39, the method of claim 37 further comprising tracking attempts of the customers of the wireless service provider to share content with the mobile device users and charging a fee in association with the attempts(Chang, para.0008). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 38.

As per claim 40, the method of claim 37 further comprising providing incentives to customers of the wireless service provider to share content with the mobile device users(Chang, para.0029). Motivation to combine set forth in claim 38.

Claims 7,8,11,35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CNN.com webpage from 1/29/03(CNN) in view of US Publication 2004/0087326 issued to Dunko et al.(Dunko) in further view of US Publication 2003/0186680 issued to Bhasin et al.(Bhasin).

CNN in view of Dunko does not explicitly teach as per claim 7, the method of claim 1 further comprising authenticating the user and as per claim 8, the method of claim 1 further comprising authenticating the recipient.

Bhasin teaches the use of user's ID and password for login(para.0016).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN in view of Dunko to include authentication of users as taught by Bhasin in order to map a user to a gateway server to obtain information.

Art Unit: 2100

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko, CNN and Bhasin in order to provide a system to users to access information on certain servers.

CNN in view of Dunko does not explicitly teach as per claim 11, the method of claim 1 wherein the received request message is in the form of an HTTP GET request.

Bhasin teaches HTTP GET request(para.0016).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN in view of Dunko to include HTTP GET request as taught by Bhasin in order to obatain information from a server.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko. CNN and Bhasin in order to obtain information from a server.

As per claim 35, the computer-readable medium of claim 28 further comprising an indication of whether the content provider consents to providing access to the shared content to a cross-carrier user(para.0016; the user must know the password and ID in order to access the server for content).

Claim 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CNN.com webpage from 1/29/03(CNN) in view of US Publication 2004/0087326 issued to Dunko et al.(Dunko) in further view of US Publication 2003/0026432 issued to Woodward.

Art Unit: 2100

CNN in view of Dunko does not explicitly teach as per claim 9, the method of claim 1 further comprising determining whether the user has exceeded a predetermined threshold for sharing content.

Woodward teaches determining whether the user has exceeded a predetermined threshold for sharing content(Abstract).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of CNN in view of Dunko to include determining whether the user has exceeded a predetermined threshold for sharing content as taught by Woodward in order for piracy protection of content.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko, CNN, and Woodward in order for piracy protection of content.

Claim 21,22,24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Publication 2004/0087326 issued to Dunko et al.(Dunko) in view of US Patent 6,047,327 issued to Tso et al.(Tso).

As per claim 21, Dunko teaches wireless service provider system for facilitating the sharing of content among mobile device users via one or more networks(Figs.1-16), the system comprising:

wherein the content sharing application receives and processes requests to share content among the mobile device users, and wherein the requests are received from customers of the wireless service provider system(Figs.1-16, para.0004.0042.0044); and

Art Unit: 2100

multiple network gateways for facilitating the communication between the content sharing application and the mobile device users, wherein at least one of the multiple gateways is configured for facilitating communication between the content sharing application and mobile devices of the mobile device users via a mobile device telecommunication network, and wherein at least one of the multiple gateways is configured for facilitating communication between the content sharing application and computing devices connected via a public communication network(Figs.1-16, para.0004,0042,0044).

Dunko however does not explicitly teach a server computer; a database coupled to the server computer; a content sharing application running on the server computer and having access to the database.

Tso teaches a server computer; a database coupled to the server computer; a content sharing application running on the server computer and having access to the database(Fig.1col.14, lines 25-35).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Dunko to include a server computer; a database coupled to the server computer; a content sharing application running on the server computer and having access to the database as taught by Tso in order to distribute information among different users.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko and Tso in order to distribute information among different users.

Art Unit: 2100

As per claim 22, the system of claim 21 wherein the multiple network gateways include a mobile access gateway(Dunko, Figs.1-16).

As per claim 24, the system of claim 21 wherein the multiple network gateways include a short message peer-to-peer gateway(Tso, col.14, lines 25-35).

Claims 23,25-27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Publication 2004/0087326 issued to Dunko et al.(Dunko) in view of US Patent 6,047,327 issued to Tso et al.(Tso) in further view of Office Notice.

Dunko in view of Tso does not explicitly teach as per claim 23, the system of claim 21 wherein the multiple network gateways include a push proxy gateway; as per claim 25, the system of claim 21 wherein the multiple network gateways include a wireless service broker.

Office Notice is taken. It well known in the art that push proxy and wireless service broker are common gateways in a wireless network.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Dunko in view of Tso to include different types of wireless gateways in order to send information from one mobile device to another.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko, Tso, and the user of different types of wireless gateways in a wireless network in order to provide a system to send information over a wireless network.

Dunko in view of Tso does not explicitly teach as per claims 26, the system of claim 21 further comprising a cross-carrier application accessible by the content sharing

Art Unit: 2100

application, wherein the cross-carrier application facilitates the sharing of content with recipients not registered with the content sharing application.

Office Notice is taken. It well known in the art to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that users of different wireless carrier can share content with one another.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Dunko in view of Tso to include sharing information among users with different wireless provider in order to provide a system where one is not limited to one wireless provider.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko, Tso, and sharing information among users with different wireless provider in order to provide a system where one is not limited to one wireless provider.

Dunko in view of Tso does not explicitly teach as per claim 27, the system of claim 21 further comprising an address book application accessible by the content sharing application.

Office Notice is taken. It well known in the art to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that an application can access an address book for sharing.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Dunko in view of Tso to include an application accessing an address book for sharing of content in order to provide a system where a user can send information to other users without having to remember their address.

Art Unit: 2100

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Dunko, Tso, and an application accessing an address book for sharing of content in order to provide a system where a user can send information to other users without having to remember their address.

Response to Arguments

The applicant have amended the specification and claims 12-16, therefore the Office withdraws the 112 2nd and specification objections.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20,28-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Backhean Tiv whose telephone number is (571) 272-5654. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

Art Unit: 2100

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. T./ Backhean Tiv Examiner, Art Unit 2151 4/3/08

/John Follansbee/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151

Art Unit: 2100